

National Jewish Health along with The California Society of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology jointly provided:

The 24thAnnual Educational Meeting: A Midsummer Night's Wheeze

July 15-17, 2016

San Francisco, CA



Executive Summary: Activity Details

Program Design: The Office of Professional Education at National Jewish Health along with the California Society of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology developed this CME activity. The three day symposium incorporated interactive case-based presentations with audience response system (ARS) questions for an interactive learning environment. The program focused on new topics in the area of allergy, asthma, and immunology that allowed attendees to return to their practices with the newest tools to improve the care of their patients.

Educational Outcomes Strategy: National Jewish Health and CSAAI aimed at measuring knowledge, competence and performance for this activity. The success of the program was measured by the following:

- Pre-test
- Post-test
- Evaluation
- 60-day post activity follow-up survey



Executive Summary: Activity Details

Background: The goal of this program was to improve health care provider's knowledge, competence, and performance by providing education in allergy, asthma and immunology – an area which is especially important in light of new therapeutic options that directly target the mechanisms of the immune system. Physicians are increasingly able to offer mechanism-specific pharmacological management to their patients in addition to symptom control. As the allergy, asthma, and immunology armamentarium expands, so does the complexity of therapeutic regimens, reinforcing the importance that physicians are up-to-date on new therapies as well as established guidelines. This multi-supported initiative delivered the latest updates and provided practical information on asthma, allergy and immunology topics, including asthma management and pediatric asthma.

Target Audience: Allergists, Immunologists, Pediatricians and Primary Care Providers

Certification: This program was certified for the following: 11.75 *AMA PRA Category 1 Credits*[™] for **Physicians**







Executive Summary: Learning Objectives

Upon completion of this activity, participants will be able to:

- 1. Apply practical lessons learned from a school-centered asthma program and identify opportunities to link this work to a clinic or hospital-based population strategy
- 2. Discuss personalized medicine and give examples of how to assess asthma control in accordance with asthma guidelines
- 3. Discuss techniques for optimizing asthma pharmacotherapy
- 4. Summarize the role of biomarkers and phenotypes in asthma



Level 1 Outcomes: Participation

N=73



Liaison, Allied Health Professional, Respiratory



Level 2 Outcomes: Satisfaction & Learning

Analysis of Participants' Responses Related to Educational Needs



n=28



Level 3 and 4 Outcomes: Learning (Knowledge & Competence)

Level 3 and 4 outcomes were measured by comparing participants' pre- and posttest answers. The attendees' responses to these questions demonstrated that **participants gained knowledge as a result of the activity.**

Overall, participants demonstrated an average 35% increase in declarative and procedural knowledge and competence as a result of this activity.

> Overall increase in knowledge: 35% from baseline to post-test.





Pre/Post Test Comparison: Analysis of Participants' Responses

Learning Objective: Discuss personalized medicine and give examples of how to assess asthma control in accordance with asthma guidelines

Question:

In African Americans with asthma and eczema, who are inadequately controlled on low dose inhaled corticosteroid therapy, the next treatment step should be:

Answer:

Increase the dose of inhaled corticosteroid to medium dose

Overall increase in knowledge: 22% from baseline to post-test.





Pre/Post Test Comparison: Analysis of Participants' Responses

Learning Objectives: Discuss personalized medicine and give examples of how to assess asthma control in accordance with asthma guidelines; Discuss techniques for optimizing asthma pharmacotherapy

Question:

An 18 year-old boy with asthma diagnosed at age 10 year has had three asthma exacerbations in the past year, requires a medium dose inhaled corticosteroid along with a long acting ßadrenergic agonist. He uses his rescue medication three times per week. His asthma is best described as:

Answer:

Not well controlled

Overall increase in knowledge: 5% from baseline to post-test.





Pre/Post Test Comparison: Analysis of Participants' Responses

Learning Objectives: Discuss personalized medicine and give examples of how to assess asthma control in accordance with asthma guidelines; Discuss techniques for optimizing asthma pharmacotherapy

Question:

A recognized benefit of the new direction in immunomodulator therapy including anti-IgE, is:

Answer:

Prevention of exacerbations

Overall increase in knowledge: 4% from baseline to post-test.





Pre/Post Test Comparison: Analysis of Participants' Responses

Learning Objective: Diagnose and manage primary and acquired immune deficiency





Pre/Post Test Comparison: Analysis of Participants' Responses

Learning Objective: Diagnose and manage primary and acquired immune deficiency

Question:

Most secondary immunodeficiency in the developing world is associated with:

Answer:

Malnutrition

Overall increase in knowledge: 75% from baseline to post-test.





Pre/Post Test Comparison: Analysis of Participants' Responses

Learning Objective: Describe the challenges of diagnosing angioedema and discuss the different therapeutic modalities

Question:

The definitive test to establish the diagnosis of HAE due to C1INH deficiency is:

Answer: C1INH Function

Overall increase in knowledge: 8% from baseline to

post-test.





Main Findings: The attendees' responses (n=28) to post-meeting evaluation questions demonstrated the following:

- **93%** of respondents indicated that they **intend to change** specific behaviors when treating patients as a result of this activity.
- 100% of respondents indicated that the activity was free of commercial bias.
- 96% of respondents indicated that the activity addressed strategies for overcoming barriers to optimal patient care.
- 100% of respondents indicated that the activity contributed valuable information that will assist in improving quality for patients.



Outcome Results: 60-Day Follow-Up Survey

- 96% of respondents report that the activity provided new ideas or information they have used in practice.
- 100% of respondents report that they are thinking about making changes in their practice as a result of this activity.
- 100% of respondents report that their patients have already benefitted from the information learned during this educational activity.





Outcome Results: 60-Day Follow-Up Survey



100% of respondents indicated that their patients have already benefitted from the information learned within 60 days of this educational activity



Outcome Results: 60-Day Follow-Up Survey

Question: What change(s) have you incorporated into practice as a result of this activity?





Executive Summary: Key Learning

Key Learning Points:

- ✓ "To incorporate new evidence based data into daily practice."
- ✓ "The importance of the microbiome in allergies."
- ✓ "More individualized approach to each patient."
- ✓ "Differential diagnosis and diagnostic works."
- "The algorithms given to aid in clinical decision making were very useful."



Science Transforming Life®



njhealth.org